THE HUMAN ORIGIN · A GUIDE

What the Room Already Knows.

Why a room decides before anyone speaks — and what it takes to change what it decides. With the research it resonates with.



A GUIDE BY

Tom Waldek

Founder, The Human Origin

Version 1.0 · October 2026 · thehumanorigin.org

BEFORE YOU READ

The website says it short. This says it whole.

None of this is mine to have invented. It resonates with a century of work — Porges on how the body reads safety,[1] Frankl on meaning as the thing survival replaces,[27] Edmondson on what teams will and won't say out loud,[11] Keltner on what power does to perception.[9] **Film sets showed me the patterns before I had the names.**

Two kinds of sentences live in this document, and they are kept apart on purpose. The body text is my reading — twenty-five years in film, and a life that started in an incubator. The boxes marked **The research** name the work that reading resonates with, and say plainly where that work stops. Where a claim is mine, it stands as mine.

Twelve short chapters, each ending at your table: one thing to notice, one move for this week. Read them in order, or start where it is loudest.

Tom Waldek
Founder, The Human Origin

This is a way of reading rooms — education and reflection. It is not therapy, medical or psychological treatment, and it is no substitute for them.

01

THE DECISION BEFORE THE WORD

Before a word is spoken, the room has already decided: safe, or not.

That decision runs the room, the relationship, the culture — **before anyone in it is conscious of it.** If you've felt the same pattern shape your leadership, your closest relationship and your own life, this is the layer underneath all three — and the door to each.

Under pressure, people and organisations fall back on what once kept them safe — performing, controlling, going quiet. What that costs is **the original**: the reading of a room before a word is spoken, the making no machine can be trained on, the person the output came from.

Safe or not safe is decided before your first day at a company, usually before your first word in a meeting. Training reaches the part that reflects. The pattern runs underneath it. **That is why it survives every intervention bought to fix it.**

Why before how

Frankl saw it before anyone in business did:[27] people don't default to survival because they're weak. They default because they've lost access to what matters — **their own meaning.** The role replaces the reason. Performance fills the void where purpose used to live. Every survival pattern in an organisation traces back to this one absence — a WHY that was never asked for, and a person who stopped believing it mattered. Performance. Control. Compliance. What looks like a leadership problem is **a meaning problem.** Restore access to the WHY, and the pattern has nothing left to run on.

The work starts there. Before strategy. Before method. At the WHY.

THE RESEARCH

Stephen Porges calls the underlying process **neuroception**: the nervous system evaluating safety, danger or threat without conscious awareness.[1]

Parts of Porges's wider polyvagal theory are disputed in physiology — most thoroughly in Paul Grossman's 2023 review and in a 2026 paper co-signed by 39 researchers, to which Porges has replied.[2] The reading in this guide leans only on the narrower observation that safety is assessed before it is thought about — not on the contested anatomy.

AT YOUR TABLE

Notice In the first minute of your next meeting, before anyone speaks — who checks your face first, and who checks the person next to them.

This week Hold your own opening for one minute. Let the room speak before you set the tone.

02

BORROWED SAFETY

A nervous system never finds safety alone.

It borrows it — from another body, and from the space around it. When there's no one to settle against, the body improvises. It performs. It controls. It goes quiet. And it hands what it learned on — to a team, to a child, to a culture that calls the whole arrangement normal.

Nobody regulates themselves. That is not a weakness — **it is the design.** A nervous system borrows its safety from another one. That is why isolation makes people harder, not stronger: a body with nothing to settle against starts reading every room as a threat — and then performs to survive it. **You cannot optimise your way out of a two-person problem.**

Which means the pattern doesn't only travel through people. **It also dissolves through them.** One person who stops performing changes what the body next to them expects. That is the whole mechanism of culture — running in the direction nobody is using.

What was borrowed can be paid off. The clearest sign: the person who used to disorganise you walks in. You stay organised. They didn't change. You did.

We didn't lose depth. We stopped building the rooms where it was survivable.

THE RESEARCH

James Coan and colleagues placed married women under threat of a mild electric shock in a brain scanner. Holding their husband's hand reduced threat-related brain activity; a stranger's hand helped less; the effect was strongest in the strongest marriages.[3] Coan and Sbarra's **Social Baseline Theory** draws the conclusion: the brain treats the presence of others as its default, and being alone as the costly exception.[4]

At population scale, a meta-analysis of 148 studies found a 50% higher likelihood of survival for people with stronger social relationships.[5]

AT YOUR TABLE

Notice Whose presence changes the room when they walk in — and which way: quieter, sharper, easier?

This week Have one conversation you have been postponing in person, not in writing. Watch what changes when there is a second body in the room.

03

WHERE THIS COMES FROM

I read rooms the way I read a rough cut.

I was born three months early. The first five months ran inside an incubator — no skin contact on record. In my second year, chemotherapy and surgery for liver cancer. The months a body is meant to learn safety through another's warmth and rhythm, **I spent being kept alive by machines.**

The steadiness most people are handed by default was never built in me. So I built it from the inside — on sets, in businesses, in rooms I wasn't supposed to be in, and in the rooms where I finally stopped pretending otherwise.

That is where the precision comes from. **Not a credential.** A nervous system shaped by the absence of another body to settle against in its first weeks, rebuilt on purpose — the reason I recognise, in any room, what others have learned to look past.

And I am not the counter-example. **I am the invoice.** What most people are handed before they can speak, I had to manufacture — and I spent thirty years paying the difference. That is how I know what a second body is worth. I priced it.

Twenty-five years in film. Most of it spent building rooms where people have to be honest on cue — and finding out what it takes. Writing, directing, acting, editing, producing, intimacy coordination. **Nobody on a set regulates alone — that isn't the soft part of the industry, it's the production schedule.**

I read organisations the way I read a rough cut: not the scenes, the cuts. Where something stops holding never shows in the frame. **It shows in the transition.**

THE RESEARCH

Ruth Feldman's team followed preterm infants for ten years. Those who received skin-to-skin contact in their first weeks showed better physiological stress regulation and cognitive control a decade later than matched infants who did not.[6]

This is research on groups, not a diagnosis of anyone — mine included. It explains why the first weeks matter. That what was missing there can be built later, on purpose, is my own experience, not a finding.

AT YOUR TABLE

Notice The transitions, not the scenes — the moment between two agenda items, the walk out of the room, the first message afterwards. That is where a room shows what it actually thinks.

This week Write down one sentence you heard in a corridor that never made it into the meeting.

04

HOW THE PATTERN TRAVELS

An organisation doesn't copy the strategy. It copies who the leader actually is.

The leader is the first operating system. Not a mood. A structure. When survival architecture is running the leader, it runs the room — because everyone accepts it. It starts being the atmosphere: the unspoken agreement about what can and cannot be said, decided or risked.

> **Control replaces connection. Performance replaces presence.**

How you are in your own body is the first thing your people read — and the first thing they copy.

And safety was never only a private matter. A body reads the room it's standing in — and not every room was built to welcome everyone in it. Some of the oldest arrangements we still live inside — colonial, patriarchal, racial — decided long ago whose natural state counts as welcome. **The cost falls hardest on the people those systems were never built to hold.** And it costs least the people who decide what counts as normal in the room. **If you're reading this from the head of a table, that's you** — not as an accusation, but as the only position from which any of it changes.

A leader's steadiness is either owned or on loan. On loan means it needs something: a good quarter, an agreeing room, the difficult person staying home. **Conditions call their loan back without notice — always mid-crisis.** A team can only borrow calm from someone who owns it.

Real steadiness doesn't need a perfect room. You bring it with you. You can still leave. You can still say no. It simply stops waiting for the world to behave first.

THE RESEARCH

Sigal Barsade planted a trained actor in group exercises; the mood the actor carried spread through the group and changed how much its members cooperated and fought.[7] Sy, Côté and Saavedra showed the same transfer from a leader's mood to the group's mood and to how the group worked.[8]

Power changes perception, too. Keltner, Gruenfeld and Anderson describe how power switches on approach and loosens inhibition.[9] In a lab experiment, students primed to feel powerful were almost three times as likely to draw a letter on their own forehead the way they themselves would read it — mirror-reversed for everyone facing them.[10]

AT YOUR TABLE

Notice Your own sentences in other people's mouths — and the tone they come back in.

This week Ask one person you trust: "What do I do under pressure that the team then does too?" Listen to the answer without explaining yourself.

05

WHAT THE ROOM WON'T SAY

Your best people stopped telling you months ago.

They still show up. They still deliver. They just stopped saying it. So you're deciding on a filtered picture. What reaches your table is what was already safe to say. The costly problems are still sitting with the people closest to them — **unspoken, and getting more expensive the longer they sit.**

You ran the engagement survey. You booked the offsite. You brought in someone good, and for three weeks the room was different. Then it went back. It went back because none of it touched the thing deciding what gets said. It gave your people better language for what they still can't tell you. The silence didn't break. **It got more professional — and harder for you to see.**

Every room runs a **survival architecture**: the hidden operating system it defaults to under pressure and rarely switches off. It decides what can be said, what gets decided, what gets risked — before anyone opens their mouth.

Performing well and telling the truth aren't the same thing. Most organisations perform the company they wish they were. That gap stays invisible — until it costs you someone, or something, you couldn't afford to lose.

THE RESEARCH

Amy Edmondson named the condition **psychological safety**: a shared belief that a team is safe for interpersonal risk — and showed that it predicts whether teams learn from their errors.[11] Morrison and Milliken described the opposite as **organisational silence**, a collective habit of withholding concerns that blocks change.[12]

In interviews with 40 professionals, Milliken, Morrison and Hewlin found that 34 of them — 85% — had at least once felt unable to raise an important issue with their boss.[13] Detert and Edmondson showed why it persists: people carry taken-for-granted rules about when speaking up is dangerous — and follow them even where the leader would welcome the truth.[14]

AT YOUR TABLE

Notice Who speaks last in your meetings — and whether the outcome was settled before the meeting began.

This week At the next decision, let the person who usually speaks last speak first. Say nothing yourself until everyone has.

06

THE METHOD

Six film disciplines. One leadership table.

I read a room the way a film set taught me to. Six disciplines, one skill underneath all of them: **telling what's true in a room from what's being performed for it.**

01 **Writing**

Why your team is here — and the story it tells instead.

02 **Directing**

Who actually runs the room — and what's safe to say.

03 **Acting**

The role each person plays — and who's underneath it.

04 **Editing**

The cut your partners see — and the footage behind it.

05 **Producing**

What holds under pressure — and who's quietly carrying it.

06 **Intimacy Coordination**

What happens to the person who says the hardest thing.

Six disciplines from a craft where trust is built under pressure, with no second take. That is why they read a leadership table so precisely.

AT YOUR TABLE

Notice Read your next meeting like a scene: the story the team tells itself, who directs, what is played — and what gets cut before it reaches you.

This week After one meeting, write three lines: what was said, what was meant, what was missing. The gap between the second line and the third is what the room already knows.

07

WHAT ANOTHER YEAR COSTS

It doesn't hold still. It compounds.

Every quarter the pattern runs, the people most able to tell you the truth get better at not doing it — or they leave, and you inherit the ones who never tried. **And you find out about the real problems at the point where they have stopped being cheap to fix.**

What it looks like after: problems reach you while they are still cheap. The quiet person at the table says the thing. You stop managing the company you wish you had — and start running the one you actually have.

THE RESEARCH

€617 billion a year — the estimated cost of work-related depression in Europe: absenteeism, lost productivity, treatment and benefits, in a study for the European Commission.[15]

21–51% lower turnover and 23% higher profitability in the most engaged business units compared with the least engaged, across Gallup's meta-analysis of its engagement data.[16]

74% less stress, 50% higher productivity, 40% less burnout — reported by people at high-trust companies compared with low-trust ones.[17]

These are correlations across organisations and self-reports, not proof of what any single intervention does — mine included.

AT YOUR TABLE

Notice The last problem that reached you too late. Count back: who knew first — and since when?

This week Put a number on it: the hours, the money, the person who left. Write it where you will see it before your next meeting.

08

THE OLDER MACHINE

The machine isn't new. Only the wiring is.

Long before the algorithm, there were systems built to flatten the human into something useful and obedient — colonial, patriarchal, racist orders that decided whose humanity counted, and trained everyone to abandon parts of themselves to survive. **AI is the newest layer on a very old machine.**

The method never changed. Take from a people what they know, take from a person what they know of themselves, and put in its place whatever serves whoever holds the ledger. Their language, their land, their body, their gods, the way they raise a child — declared primitive, then overwritten by a standard nobody in the room had chosen. **Conquest became curriculum. Curriculum became common sense.**

And the occupation doesn't end when the flag comes down. It moves inside. It becomes the voice that measures you against that standard and finds you slightly wrong — too much, too emotional, too foreign, too soft, too loud, too slow. Most people meet that voice as their own. **It was taught.**

The bill was never split evenly. It falls hardest on the people those orders were never built to hold — first, and longest: in the room, in the body, in what they leave at the door to be let in. Anyone who has walked into a room and quietly made themselves smaller knows the mechanism from the inside. For some it happens once. For others it is every room, every day.

The knowledge that kept the human whole — the wisdom of indigenous peoples who never split the person from the body, or the body from the land — wasn't lost. **It was erased, on purpose, because a whole human is hard to rule.** What replaced it is the thing we now call normal. A self cut

into parts, one of them acceptable at work. Thinking without a body. Producing without a season. Knowing without belonging anywhere. That isn't human nature. It's a decision someone drew up, and someone else signed.

Now hand that record to a machine. Centuries of who counted and who didn't — weighted, scored, and handed back with no accent and no hesitation. **The same verdict as before, only faster and harder to argue with.** That is the continuity the AI conversation keeps walking past.

THE RESEARCH

Ngũgĩ wa Thiong'o described how colonial schooling replaced a people's language and, with it, how they saw themselves.[18] Frantz Fanon described the colonised person learning to see themselves through the coloniser's eyes.[19]

At work, the same move has a name: **covering** — downplaying a part of who you are to fit in. In a Deloitte survey, 61% of employees reported doing it.[20]

And the record does reach the machine. Commercial face-analysis systems misclassified darker-skinned women at error rates of up to 34.7%, against at most 0.8% for lighter-skinned men.[21] A widely used US health algorithm, trained on past spending, systematically underestimated how sick Black patients were.[22]

AT YOUR TABLE

Notice Who has to translate themselves before they get heard at your table — accent, volume, story, clothes. And whose way of speaking never has to.

This week Name one "professional" standard in your team you have never questioned — and ask who it costs most to keep meeting it.

09

THE ORIGINAL

The human is the original technology. Everything else is a copy catching up.

Before any system, a nervous system. Before any strategy, a body deciding safe or not. Before any performance, a person who was simply there. Everything since is **a copy of a capacity we already are.** The machine is only the newest copy. The older ones were drawn up by empires, and they are still running. If you feel the pull to hand your thinking and creating to the machine — and something refuses — this is the case for why, and the ground to stand on while you take it back.

> **They can automate the output. They can't automate the person the output came from.**

This is not background reading. **You are running someone else's decision about what a person is allowed to be** — made before you were born, by people who never met you, and enforced now by rooms that call it professionalism. You didn't agree to it. You inherited it.

What changes here is what you stop calling normal. After that, the room does not get to keep it.

> **Don't defend the human. Return to it.**

This isn't a war against what's new. The human doesn't need defending — **it needs remembering.** The capacities we're being sold as replaceable are the originals. Everything else is the imitation, catching up.

Every organisation asking what AI will replace is answering its own question. The behaviour most at risk was never creative, never personal, never present. It was pattern. Optimised performance. Managed risk. What no system replicates is what that architecture has been hiding: the full capacity of your people. Reading a room. Making the real calls. Being right — not from data, but from what a body knows before language does. Not a soft skill. The original technology.

They'll tell you the machine creates now. It arranges what already exists, brilliantly. But creation — the thing that comes from a body that has actually lived, lost, wanted — has never left the human. **It can't be trained on what it's never felt.**

And intelligence that feels: the kind that reads a room before a word is spoken, that tells what's true from what's performed. No model has a nervous system. That reading is ours — and it's the most valuable thing in any room.

The machine gets the output. Never the making.

It skips the part that matters. The sweat. The circling — the fifty wrong turns before the one that lands. The fear of making something, and the fun of it in the same breath. The learning curve that changes you as you climb it. A machine arrives at an answer; **a person is transformed by the search for it.**

That process — messy, embodied, personal — is the richness. It can't be trained, because it was never information. It's what a body does when it makes something with everything it has: instinct, nerve, feeling, and the willingness to fail in the open. That's yours. The one wealth no system can take, and **the one edge no machine will ever close.**

> **You are the original. Use it. Live it.**

A leader who remembers they're the original leads like it. This isn't philosophy for its own sake — it's the ground the whole practice stands on. Restore a person to themselves and they lead, create and connect from a place no system can copy. That is exactly the edge the work is built to give an organisation. The same unlearning, taken personally — the inherited script where it actually lives, in the body — is what chapters 10 and 11 are about.

It was never lost. It was trained out.

THE RESEARCH

In a field experiment with 758 consultants, GPT-4 lifted quality on tasks inside its capabilities — and on a task just outside them, consultants using it were 19 percentage points less likely to reach the right answer than those working without it.[23]

A study in **Science Advances** found that AI help made individual stories more creative and the stories as a group more alike.[24] The copy catches up by converging.

AT YOUR TABLE

Notice Where your team hands its thinking to a tool before it has had a first thought of its own.

This week Take one decision or draft through the whole circling without a tool — wrong turns included — before any machine sees it. Notice what you understand afterwards that the shortcut would have skipped.

10

THE INHERITED CODE

The old western masculine and feminine were never yours.

Two things happen to a child. The parts that didn't fit the room you grew up in — softness or fire, need or wanting, too much feeling or too much force — you learned to manage away. That's your **essence**. Not gone. Buried. And the parts you couldn't show at all, you split off — until they run you from the dark. That's your **shadow**. Whatever a person disowns still drives them.

None of this was weakness. It was a body learning what kept it safe. Underneath every "someone like me doesn't..." is a body that once needed you not to — to stay in the room, to keep the love. Argue with the belief and nothing moves.

Dominate, don't feel, never need. Please, shrink, carry everyone but yourself. Two halves of one inheritance — both handed down, both distorted, **both a survival code for a world that profits from the split.**

And the world outside keeps enforcing it. What a man is allowed to be, what a woman is allowed to be — the image gets shaped by systems that were never fair: patriarchal, racist, unjust, built to keep some people on top and others in line. The polarities we're born with get overwritten by the ones we're sold — and the cost lands hardest on the people those systems were built to exclude.

The knowledge that once held these polarities in balance wasn't lost by accident. Colonisation erased it — the wisdom of indigenous peoples who never split the masculine from the feminine, the human from the land, the inner from the outer. The first world didn't outgrow that balance. It was trained to forget it. **What we're missing isn't new — it's what was taken.**

Everyone carries both, whatever their gender. The focus here is on men — in a Western patriarchal world, men were handed the enforcing end of the distortion, and the pattern loosens fastest when the people holding it set it down. Unlearning the inherited version isn't losing your masculine or your feminine. **It's getting the real ones back — the kind that don't cost the people around you.**

THE RESEARCH

In 2018 the American Psychological Association published its first guidelines for practice with boys and men. In their words, traditional masculinity ideology has been shown to limit males' psychological development, constrain their behaviour, and negatively influence their mental and physical health.[25]

AT YOUR TABLE

Notice The sentence “someone like me doesn't...” — finish it once, honestly, for yourself.

This week Catch one moment when you hold back softness or force because of what a leader is supposed to be. Write it down in one line, for yourself only.

11

BACK IN THE BODY

Safety is felt, not thought.

The part of you that decides safe-or-not formed before language, and it never learned to read. You can understand everything about your patterns and still not be free of them — because **understanding lands in the mind, and the pattern lives in the body.**

Touch is how a nervous system first learns it's safe — and for most of us, somewhere along the way, touch stopped being safe. Too much, or too little, or on someone else's terms. The body learned to brace. And **a body that braces can't fully arrive** — not in a room, not in a bed, not in its own life.

This isn't only personal. Whose body is allowed to take up space, to be soft, to be touched with care — that too was shaped by an unjust world. Some bodies were never granted safety at all. This work doesn't pretend that away. **It starts where you actually are.**

Back in the Body is nervous-system and body education — about safety, consent, and arriving in yourself. It is not therapy, and not a sexual service. Everything moves at the pace of your own yes. Consent isn't a formality here — it's the whole method.

THE RESEARCH

Tiffany Field's review of touch research links safe, caring touch to lower stress physiology and better socio-emotional development across the lifespan.[26] The preterm study in chapter 03 shows the same from the other side: what early skin contact does, ten years on.[6]

AT YOUR TABLE

Notice What your body does in the minute before a difficult meeting — shoulders, breath, jaw. It decides how you walk in before you do.

This week Before one difficult conversation, take one minute without a screen. Arrive before you begin.

12

TAKING IT BACK

The last colony is the one inside.

My reading of the West is an uncomfortable one. We perfected performance and cleared the table. Everyone is working on themselves. **Nothing is holding them.**

Reclaiming the original means reclaiming from all of it — from the machine, and from the older machine underneath it. Not by studying it. By catching the moment your body edits you into something acceptable, **and choosing, once, not to.**

01 **From the machine**

Keep the making. The circling, the wrong turns, the learning curve that changes you — the part no model can be trained on.

02 **From the old order**

Ask whose standard is running, who set it, and what it costs to keep meeting it. An inventory only you can take.

03 **From the voice inside**

The one that calls you too much, too foreign, too soft. It was never yours to begin with.

04 **With others, or not at all**

The last instruction the old order left behind is that you fix yourself privately — alone, before anyone sees. In that instruction, the occupation is still working. A body that was overwritten in relationship does not come back in isolation. Say the true thing in front of one person who doesn't flinch — that is the smallest unit of the way back, and there is no smaller one.

In a room you lead, the same question turns outward. Whose way of being counts as professional here. Who has to translate themselves before they get heard. Who gets called difficult for saying the true thing. **A room changes when the person at the head of the table changes what counts as normal in it.**

The remedy doesn't need inventing. It's a table where the thing everyone already knows is allowed to be said out loud. We had one here too. **We got rid of it because it wouldn't scale.**

> **What we're missing isn't new. It's what was taken.**

AT YOUR TABLE

Notice The moment you edit yourself into something acceptable — mid-sentence, mid-meeting. You will feel it before you hear it.

This week Say one sentence at your table that you would usually smooth — unsmoothed. Then watch who follows.

ONE THING BEFORE YOU CLOSE THIS

Think of the last sentence you didn't say.

You know which one immediately. That wasn't cowardice, it was arithmetic: the smoother half cost less.

Your team knows the sentence too — they read it off your face and adjusted their own to match. **Every week it stays unsaid, it gets more expensive.** Say it to me first.

Forty-five minutes, no fee — one question, the real one. Or take three minutes on your own first and bring what it surfaces.

And the way back is not walked alone: five to ten people, online, once a month, €250 a seat. The real script, said out loud, with others in the room. Next date on request.

Tom Waldek
Founder, The Human Origin

Book a conversation → cal.eu/tomwaldek/entry-conversation
The Pulse Check → thehumanorigin.org/en/two-pulses/
Write first → tom@thehumanorigin.org

SOURCES

What the reading resonates with

1. Porges, S. W. (2011). The Polyvagal Theory: Neurophysiological Foundations of Emotions, Attachment, Communication, and Self-regulation. New York: W. W. Norton.
2. Grossman, P. (2023). Fundamental challenges and likely refutations of the five basic premises of the polyvagal theory. Biological Psychology, 180, 108589. — Grossman, P., et al. (2026). Why the polyvagal theory is untenable. Clinical Neuropsychiatry, 23(1), 100–112; reply by Porges, 113–128.
3. Coan, J. A., Schaefer, H. S., & Davidson, R. J. (2006). Lending a hand: Social regulation of the neural response to threat. Psychological Science, 17(12), 1032–1039.
4. Coan, J. A., & Sbarra, D. A. (2015). Social Baseline Theory: The social regulation of risk and effort. Current Opinion in Psychology, 1, 87–91.
5. Holt-Lunstad, J., Smith, T. B., & Layton, J. B. (2010). Social relationships and mortality risk: A meta-analytic review. PLoS Medicine, 7(7), e1000316.
6. Feldman, R., Rosenthal, Z., & Eidelman, A. I. (2014). Maternal-preterm skin-to-skin contact enhances child physiologic organization and cognitive control across the first 10 years of life. Biological Psychiatry, 75(1), 56–64.
7. Barsade, S. G. (2002). The ripple effect: Emotional contagion and its influence on group behavior. Administrative Science Quarterly, 47(4), 644–675.
8. Sy, T., Côté, S., & Saavedra, R. (2005). The contagious leader: Impact of the leader's mood on the mood of group members, group affective tone, and group processes. Journal of Applied Psychology, 90(2), 295–305.
9. Keltner, D., Gruenfeld, D. H., & Anderson, C. (2003). Power, approach, and inhibition. Psychological Review, 110(2), 265–284.
10. Galinsky, A. D., Magee, J. C., Inesi, M. E., & Gruenfeld, D. H. (2006). Power and perspectives not taken. Psychological Science, 17(12), 1068–1074.
11. Edmondson, A. C. (1999). Psychological safety and learning behavior in work teams. Administrative Science Quarterly, 44(2), 350–383.
12. Morrison, E. W., & Milliken, F. J. (2000). Organizational silence: A barrier to change and development in a pluralistic world. Academy of Management Review, 25(4), 706–725.
13. Milliken, F. J., Morrison, E. W., & Hewlin, P. F. (2003). An exploratory study of employee silence: Issues that employees don't communicate upward and why. Journal of Management Studies, 40(6), 1453–1476.
14. Detert, J. R., & Edmondson, A. C. (2011). Implicit voice theories: Taken-for-granted rules of self-censorship at work. Academy of Management Journal, 54(3), 461–488.
15. Matrix (2013). Economic analysis of workplace mental health promotion and mental disorder prevention programmes and of their potential contribution to EU health, social and economic policy objectives. Final report for the European Commission (Executive Agency for Health and Consumers). Figure: work-related depression, EU-27.
16. Harter, J. K., et al. (2024). The Relationship Between Engagement at Work and Organizational Outcomes: 2024 Q12 Meta-Analysis, 11th ed. Washington, DC: Gallup. Median top- vs bottom-quartile differences.
17. Zak, P. J. (2017). The neuroscience of trust. Harvard Business Review, 95(1), 84–90.
18. Ngũgĩ wa Thiong'o (1986). Decolonising the Mind: The Politics of Language in African Literature. London: James Currey.
19. Fanon, F. (1952). Peau noire, masques blancs. Paris: Éditions du Seuil. (Black Skin, White Masks.)
20. Smith, C., & Yoshino, K. (2013). Uncovering Talent: A New Model of Inclusion. Deloitte University Leadership Center for Inclusion. — Yoshino, K. (2006). Covering: The Hidden Assault on Our Civil Rights. New York: Random House.
21. Buolamwini, J., & Gebru, T. (2018). Gender Shades: Intersectional accuracy disparities in commercial gender classification. Proceedings of Machine Learning Research, 81, 77–91.

22. Obermeyer, Z., Powers, B., Vogeli, C., & Mullainathan, S. (2019). Dissecting racial bias in an algorithm used to manage the health of populations. Science, 366(6464), 447–453.
23. Dell'Acqua, F., McFowland, E., Mollick, E. R., et al. (2023). Navigating the jagged technological frontier: Field experimental evidence of the effects of AI on knowledge worker productivity and quality. Harvard Business School Working Paper 24-013.
24. Doshi, A. R., & Hauser, O. P. (2024). Generative AI enhances individual creativity but reduces the collective diversity of novel content. Science Advances, 10(28), eadn5290.
25. American Psychological Association (2018). APA Guidelines for Psychological Practice with Boys and Men. Washington, DC: APA.
26. Field, T. (2010). Touch for socioemotional and physical well-being: A review. Developmental Review, 30(4), 367–383.
27. Frankl, V. E. (1946). Ein Psycholog erlebt das Konzentrationslager. Vienna: Verlag für Jugend und Volk. (English: Man's Search for Meaning; German today: ...trotzdem Ja zum Leben sagen.)

© 2026 The Human Origin · Tom Waldek · thehumanorigin.org · Share it whole, with the name on it.
Education and reflection — not therapy, medical, psychological or legal advice. The studies cited describe groups and correlations; none of them evaluates The Human Origin's work. Legal notice: thehumanorigin.org/en/imprint/